

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.ospto.gov

All BIOATION TO		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
		Alexander Tormasov	2230.0400001		
26111 75	11/12/2004	EXAMINER			
	SSLER, GOLDSTEIN &	MIRZA, ADNAN M			
1100 NEW YO	RK AVENUE, N.W. N. DC. 20005	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
1,710111110101	., 20 2000		2145		

DATE MAILED: 11/12/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



					_ (\forall .		
Office Action Summary		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	No.		
		09/918,0	31	TORMASOV ET AL.	\searrow_{j}		
		Examine		Art Unit			
		Adnan M		2145			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication aported or Reply	ppears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence address	;		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. If SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing period patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no ev ply within the stat d will apply and w te, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be t utory minimum of thirty (30) da ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS frou dication to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communi JED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.		
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 J	July 2001.					
2a) <u></u>	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	awn from co					
Applicat	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 30 July 2001 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the E)⊠ accepte e drawing(s) b ction is requir	oe held in abeyance. So ed if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	, ,		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have bee nts have bee prity docume au (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Applica ents have been receiv e 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage	Э		
Attachmen	• •						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) 🔯 Infon	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 or No(s)/Mail Date 07/30/2001.	3)		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bandhole et al (US 2002/0049803) and Huang et al (US 2002/0091697).

As per claim 1 Bandhole disclosed a system for efficient utilization of a single hardware system with a single operating system kernel by an end user of a personal computer, said system comprising: a virtual computing environment functionally equivalent to a computer having a full-featured operating system (Page. 2, Paragraph 0017); said virtual computing environment constructed and arranged to separate user processes on the level of namespace and on the basis of restrictions implemented inside said operating system Kernel (Page. 6, Paragraph. 0051 & 0059);

However Bandhole did not disclose in detail whereby emulation of hardware resource or a dedicated memory is not required.

In the same field of endeavor Huang disclosed the invention provides a virtual desktop in a virtual computing environment such that a user sees the same desktop and has access to the same Application/Control Number: 09/918,031

Art Unit: 2145

applications, files and amenities independent of the particular computer system on which access

is gained (Page. 3, Paragraph 0040).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention

was made to have incorporated the invention provides a virtual desktop in a virtual computing

environment such that a user sees the same desktop and has access to the same applications, files

and amenities independent of the particular computer system on which access is gained as taught

by Huang in the method of Bandhole so that the user can access the resources in the network

from one computer system and reduce the latency in the system.

3. As per claim 2 Bandhole-Huang disclosed wherein virtual computing environments are

not visible to other virtual computing environments operating in a network of computers on non-

network level communications (Huang, Page. 3, Paragraph 0042).

4. As per claim 3 Bandhole-Huang disclosed wherein each virtual computing environment

has a completely independent root file system (Bandhole, Page. 8, Paragraph 0099).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/918,031 Page 4

Art Unit: 2145

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Adnan Mirza whose telephone number is (571)-272-3885.

6. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday during normal business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dharia Rupal can be reached on (571)-272-3880. The fax for this group is (703)-746-7239.

7. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

(703)-746-7239 (For Status Inquiries, Informal or Draft Communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT");

(703)-746-7239 (For Official Communications Intended for entry, please mark "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE"),

(703)-746-7238 (For After Final Communications).

8. Any Inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-305-3900.

Any response to a final action should be mailed to:

Application/Control Number: 09/918,031

Art Unit: 2145

BOX AF

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C.20231

Or faxed to:

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to 4th Floor Receptionist, Crystal Park II, 2021 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA 22202.

AM

Adnan Mirza

Examiner

LE HIEN LUU PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 5